# RESTRICTED RADON TRANSFORMS AND PROJECTIONS OF PLANAR SETS

#### DANIEL M. OBERLIN

ABSTRACT. We establish a mixed norm estimate for the Radon transform in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  when the set of directions has fractional dimension. This estimate is used to prove a result about an exceptional set of directions connected with projections of planar sets. That leads to a conjecture analogous to a well-known conjecture of Furstenberg.

## 1. Introduction

For each  $\omega \in S^1$ , fix  $\omega^{\perp}$  with  $\omega^{\perp} \perp \omega$ . Define a Radon transform R for functions f on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  by

$$Rf(t,\omega) = \int_{-1}^{1} f(t\,\omega + s\,\omega^{\perp})\,ds.$$

Suppose  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and fix a nonnegative Borel measure  $\lambda$  on  $S^1$  which is  $\alpha$ -dimensional in the sense that  $\lambda(B(\omega, \delta)) \lesssim \delta^{\alpha}$  for  $\omega \in S^1$ . We are interested in mixed norm estimates for R of the following form:

$$(1.1) \qquad \left[ \int_{S^1} \left( \int_{-1}^1 |Rf(t,\omega)|^s dt \right)^{q/s} d\lambda(\omega) \right]^{1/q} \lesssim ||f||_p.$$

Here are some conditions which are necessary for (1.1): testing on  $f = \chi_{B(0,\delta)}$  shows that

$$(1.2) \qquad \frac{2}{p} \le 1 + \frac{1}{s};$$

if there is  $\omega_0 \in S^1$  such that  $\lambda(B(\omega_0, \delta)) \gtrsim \delta^{\alpha}$  for small positive  $\delta$ , then testing on 1 by  $\delta$  rectangles centered at the origin in the direction  $\omega_0^{\perp}$  gives

$$(1.3) \frac{1}{p} \le \frac{1}{s} + \frac{\alpha}{q};$$

if the Lebesgue measure in  $S^1$  of the  $\delta$ -neighborhood in  $S^1$  of the support of  $\lambda$  is  $\lesssim \delta^{1-\alpha}$ , then testing on unions of 1 by  $\delta$  rectangles in the directions of the support of  $\lambda$  gives

$$\frac{1-\alpha}{p} \le \frac{1}{s}.$$

Date: May, 2007.

This work was supported in part by NSF grant DMS-0552041.

Our first result is that these necessary conditions are almost sufficient:

**Theorem 1.1.** Suppose  $p, q, r \in [1, \infty]$  satisfy the conditions (1.2), (1.3), and (1.4) with strict inequality. Then the estimate (1.1) holds.

Now suppose that  $\mu$  is a nonnegative Borel measure on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . If  $\omega \in S^1$ , define the projection  $\mu_{\omega}$  of  $\mu$  in the direction of  $\omega$  by

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(y) d\mu_{\omega}(y) \doteq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x \cdot \omega) d\mu(x),$$

where  $x \cdot \omega$  denotes the inner product in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Fix  $\alpha \in (0,1)$  and suppose that  $\lambda$  is an  $\alpha$ -dimensional measure on  $S^1$ . Then, for  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is  $C = C(\epsilon)$  such that

$$\int_{S^1} \frac{d\lambda(\omega)}{|\omega \cdot \omega_0|^{\alpha - \epsilon}} \le C(\epsilon)$$

for all  $\omega_0 \in S^1$ . The computation

$$\int_{S^1} I_{\alpha-\epsilon}(\mu_{\omega}) d\lambda(\omega) = \int_{S^1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\mu_{\omega}(y_1) d\mu_{\omega}(y_2)}{|y_1 - y_2|^{\alpha-\epsilon}} d\lambda(\omega) =$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{S^1} \frac{d\lambda(\omega)}{|\omega \cdot \frac{x_1 - x_2}{|x_1 - x_2|}|^{\alpha-\epsilon}} \frac{d\mu(x_1) d\mu(x_2)}{|x_1 - x_2|^{\alpha-\epsilon}} \le C(\epsilon) I_{\alpha-\epsilon}(\mu)$$

is due to Kaufman [2]. Refining an earlier result of Marstrand [3], it shows that if  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  has dimension  $\beta \leq 1$  and  $p_{\omega}(E)$  is the projection of E onto the line through the origin in the direction of  $\omega$ , then

(1.5) 
$$\dim\{\omega \in S^1 : \dim p_{\omega}(E) < \alpha\} \le \alpha$$

whenever  $\alpha \leq \beta$ . (In this note "dim" stands for Hausdorff dimension.) In particular,

(1.6) 
$$\dim\{\omega \in S^1 : \dim p_{\omega}(E) < \beta\} \le \beta.$$

The next theorem, whose analog for Minkowski dimension is trivial, complements Kaufman's results (1.5) and (1.6):

**Theorem 1.2.** If dim  $E = \beta \le 1$  then

(1.7) 
$$\dim\{\omega \in S^1 : \dim p_{\omega}(E) < \beta/2\} = 0.$$

The estimates (1.6) and (1.7) lead naturally to the conjecture that if  $\alpha \le \beta \le 1$  then

(1.8) 
$$\dim\{\omega \in S^1 : \dim p_{\omega}(E) < (\alpha + \beta)/2\} \le \alpha.$$

One may view this conjecture as an analog of the conjecture that Furstenberg  $\alpha$ -sets have dimension at least  $(3\alpha+1)/2$ , with (1.5) being the analog of the known  $2\alpha$  lower bound for the dimension of Furstenberg sets and with (1.7) being the analog of the known  $(\alpha+1)/2$  lower bound. Indeed, (1.8) with  $\beta=1$  would imply the Furstenberg conjecture for a certain class of model Furstenberg sets. (Information about Furstenberg's conjecture is contained in [5].) The link between Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 is the fact that, formally,  $\mu_{\omega}=R\mu(\cdot,\omega)$ .

#### 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

The lines bounding the regions defined by (1.2) and (1.4) intersect at  $(\frac{1}{p},\frac{1}{s})=(\frac{1}{1+\alpha},\frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha})$ . Then equality in (1.3) gives  $\frac{1}{q}=\frac{1}{1+\alpha}$ , so the important estimate is an  $L^{1+\alpha} \to L^{1+\alpha}(L^{(1+\alpha)/(1-\alpha)})$  estimate. Easy estimates combined with an interpolation argument show that Theorem 1.1 will follow if we establish (1.1) for  $f = \chi_E$  and a collection of triples (p, q, r) which are arbitrarily close to  $(1+\alpha, 1+\alpha, (1+\alpha)/(1-\alpha))$ . Standard arguments then show that it is enough to prove that if  $R\chi_E(t,\omega) \geq \mu$  for

$$(t,\omega) \in F = \{(t,\omega) : \omega \in A, t \in B(\omega) \subset [-1,1]\},\$$

where there is some B such that  $B \leq m_1(B(\omega)) \leq 2B$  for  $\omega \in A$ , then

$$\mu^p \lambda(A)^{p/q} B^{p/s} \le C(\delta) m_2(E)$$

if

$$p = \frac{\alpha + \delta\alpha + 1}{\delta\alpha + 1}, \ q = \alpha + \delta\alpha + 1, \ s = \frac{\alpha + \delta\alpha + 1}{\delta\alpha + 1 - \alpha}$$

for small  $\delta > 0$ .

For each  $\omega \in A$  let

$$E(\omega) = \{t \,\omega + s \,\omega^{\perp} \in E : t \in B(\omega), s \in [-1, 1]\}.$$

Since  $R\chi_E(t,\omega) \geq \mu$  and  $m_1(B(\omega)) \geq B$ , it follows that

$$(2.1) m_2(E(\omega)) \ge \mu B.$$

Using the change of coordinates  $x \mapsto (x \cdot \omega_1, x \cdot \omega_2)$ , one can check that

(2.2) 
$$m_2(E(\omega_1) \cap E(\omega_2)) \lesssim \frac{B^2}{|\omega_1 - \omega_2|}.$$

We will bound  $m_2(E)$  from below by using

$$m_2(E) \ge m_2 \left( \cup_{j=1}^N E(\omega_j) \right) \ge \sum_{j=1}^N m_2(E(\omega_j)) - \sum_{1 \le j < k \le N} m_2 \left( E(\omega_j) \cap E(\omega_k) \right)$$

for appropriately chosen  $\omega_i \in A$ . Fix, for the moment, a small positive number  $\eta$  and consider a partitioning of  $S^1$  into intervals of length about  $\eta$ . Since  $\lambda(B(x,r)) \lesssim r^{\alpha}$ , the  $\lambda$ -measure of each of these intervals is  $\lesssim \eta^{\alpha}$ . So at least, roughly,  $\eta^{-\alpha}\lambda(A)$  of them must intersect A. Thus it is possible to choose  $N \sim \eta^{-\alpha} \lambda(A)$  points  $\omega_j \in A$  with  $|\omega_j - \omega_k| \gtrsim \eta |j - k|$ . Then, for any  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\sum_{1 \le j < k \le N} \frac{1}{|\omega_j - \omega_k|} \lesssim \eta^{-1} \sum_{1 \le j < k \le N} \frac{1}{|j - k|} \lesssim \eta^{-1} N^{1 + \delta}$$

and so, by (2.2),

$$\sum_{1 \le j < k \le N} m_2 (E(\omega_1) \cap E(\omega_2)) \le C B^2 \eta^{-1} N^{1+\delta} \le C_1 B^2 N^{1+\delta+1/\alpha} \lambda(A)^{-1/\alpha},$$

where we have used  $N \sim \eta^{-\alpha} \lambda(A)$ . We would now like to choose N such that

$$(2.5) 2C_1 B^2 N^{1+\delta+1/\alpha} \lambda(A)^{-1/\alpha} \le N \mu B \le 3C_1 B^2 N^{1+\delta+1/\alpha} \lambda(A)^{-1/\alpha}$$

or

(2.6)

$$3^{-\alpha/(1+\delta\alpha)} \left(\frac{\mu B^{-1} \lambda(A)^{1/\alpha}}{C_1}\right)^{\alpha/(\delta\alpha+1)} \leq N \leq 2^{-\alpha/(1+\delta\alpha)} \left(\frac{\mu B^{-1} \lambda(A)^{1/\alpha}}{C_1}\right)^{\alpha/(\delta\alpha+1)}.$$

This will be possible unless

$$\mu B^{-1}\lambda(A)^{1/\alpha} \lesssim 1$$

in which case

$$\mu^{\alpha/(\delta\alpha+1)}B^{-\alpha/(\delta\alpha+1)}\lambda(A)^{1/(\delta\alpha+1)} \lesssim 1$$

so that the desired inequality

(2.7) 
$$m_2(E) \gtrsim \mu^{(\alpha+\delta\alpha+1)/(\delta\alpha+1)} \lambda(A)^{1/(\delta\alpha+1)} B^{(\delta\alpha+1-\alpha)/(\delta\alpha+1)}$$

follows from  $m_2(E) \ge \mu B$  unless F is empty. Now (with N chosen so that (2.5) and (2.6) are valid), (2.3), (2.1), (2.4), and the left member of (2.5) give  $m_2(E) \gtrsim N \mu B$ . Then the left member of (2.6) gives (2.7) again.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

For  $\rho > 0$ , let  $K_{\rho}$  be the kernel defined on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  by  $K_{\rho}(x) = |x|^{-\rho} \chi_{B(0,R)}(x)$  where R = R(d) is positive. Suppose that the finite nonnegative Borel measure  $\nu$  is a  $\gamma$ -dimensional measure on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  in the sense that  $\nu(B(x,\delta)) \leq C(\nu) \delta^{\gamma}$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\delta > 0$ . If  $\rho < \gamma$  it follows that

$$\nu * K_{\rho} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Also

$$\nu * K_{\rho} \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$$

so long as  $\rho < d$ . Thus, for  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

(3.1) 
$$\nu * K_{\rho} \in L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{d}), \ \rho = \gamma + \frac{1}{p}(d - \gamma) - \epsilon$$

by interpolation. The following lemma is a weak converse of this observation.

**Lemma 3.1.** If (3.1) holds with  $\epsilon = 0$  and p > 1, then  $\nu$  is absolutely continuous with respect to Hausdorff measure of dimension  $\gamma - \epsilon$  for any  $\epsilon > 0$ . Thus the support of  $\nu$  has Hausdorff dimension at least  $\gamma$ .

*Proof.* Recall from [1] (see p. 140) that, for  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ , the norm  $||f||_{p,q}^s$  of a distribution f on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  in the Besov space  $B_{p,q}^s$  can be defined by

$$||f||_{pq}^{s} = ||\psi * f||_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{d})} + \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(2^{sk} ||\phi_{k} * f||_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{d})}\right)^{q}\right)^{1/q}$$

for certain fixed  $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , and where  $\phi_k(x) = 2^{kd}\phi(2^kx)$ . If  $\nu * K_{\rho} \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , then  $\|\nu * \chi_{B(0,\delta)}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \delta^{\rho}$ . It follows that  $\|\nu\|_{pq}^s < \infty$  if  $s < \rho - d = (\gamma - d)/p'$ . Now, for t > 0 and  $1 < p', q' < \infty$ , the Besov capacity  $A_{t,p',q'}(K)$  of a compact  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  is defined by

$$A_{t,p',q'}(K) = \inf\{\|f\|_{p',q'}^t : f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d), f \ge \chi_K\}.$$

It is shown in [4] (see p. 277) that  $A_{t,p',q'}(K) \lesssim H_{d-tp'}(K)$ . Thus it follows from the duality of  $B_{p,q}^s$  and  $B_{p',q'}^{-s}$  that

$$\nu(K) \lesssim \|\nu\|_{pq}^s \, A_{-s,p',q'}(K) \lesssim H_{d+sp'}(K) = H_{\gamma-\epsilon}(K)$$
 if  $s=(\gamma-d-\epsilon)/p'.$ 

Now suppose that  $\mu$  is a nonnegative and compactly supported Borel measure on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  which is  $\beta$ -dimensional in the sense that  $\mu(B(x,\delta)) \lesssim \delta^{\beta}$ . If the radii R(1) and R(2) (in the definition of  $K_{\rho}$ ) are chosen so that R(1) = 1 and R(2) is large enough, depending on the support of  $\mu$ , then one can verify directly that

$$\mu_{\omega} * K_{(\rho-1)}(t) \lesssim \int_{-2R(2)}^{2R(2)} \mu * K_{\rho} (t\omega + s\omega^{\perp}) ds.$$

If p, q, s are such that (1.1) holds and if  $\rho = \beta + (2 - \beta)/p - \epsilon$ , so that (3.1) implies that  $\mu * K_{\rho} \in L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{2})$ , then a rescaling of (1.1) gives

(3.2) 
$$\int_{S_1} \|\mu_{\omega} * K_{(\rho-1)}\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R})}^q d\lambda(\omega) < \infty.$$

If we could take  $(p,q,s) = (1 + \alpha, 1 + \alpha, (1 + \alpha)/(1 - \alpha))$  and  $\epsilon = 0$  then (3.2) would yield

$$\int_{S_1} \|\mu_{\omega} * K_{\tau}\|_{L^{(1+\alpha)/(1-\alpha)}(\mathbb{R})}^{1+\alpha} d\lambda(\omega) < \infty$$

with  $\tau = (1 - \alpha + \alpha \beta)/(1 + \alpha)$ . Adjusting for the fact that (3.2) actually holds only for (p, q, s) close to  $(1 + \alpha, 1 + \alpha, (1 + \alpha)/(1 - \alpha))$  and with  $\epsilon > 0$ , it still follows that

$$\int_{S_1} \|\mu_{\omega} * K_{\tau}\|_{L^{(1+\alpha-\epsilon)/(1-\alpha)}(\mathbb{R})}^{1+\alpha-\epsilon} d\lambda(\omega) < \infty$$

with  $\tau = (1 - \alpha + \alpha \beta)/(1 + \alpha) - \epsilon$  for any  $\epsilon > 0$ . With  $\nu = \mu_{\omega}$ ,  $p = (1 + \alpha - \epsilon)/(1 - \alpha)$ , and d = 1, Lemma 3.1 then shows that, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , the Hausdorff dimension of  $\mu_{\omega}$ 's support exceeds  $\beta/2 - \epsilon$  for  $\lambda$ -almost all  $\omega$ 's. Since this is true for any  $\alpha$ -dimensional measure  $\lambda$  and for any  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , it follows that dim{ $\omega \in S^1 : \dim p_{\omega}(E) < \beta/2$ } = 0 as desired.

## References

- [1] J. Bergh, J. Löfström, Interpolation Spaces, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, bd. 223, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1976).
- [2] R. Kaufman, On Hausdorff dimension of projections, *Mathematika* **15** (1968), 153–155
- [3] J. Marstrand, Some fundamental geometrical properties of plane sets of fractional dimension, *Proc. London. Math. Soc.* 4 (1954), 257–302.
- [4] B.-M. Stocke, Differentiability properties of Besov potentials and Besov spaces, *Arkiv för Mat.* **22** (1984), 269–286.
- [5] T. Wolff, Recent work connected with the Kakeya problem, in: *Prospects in mathematics* (Princeton, NJ, 1966), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (1966), 129–162.
- D. M. Oberlin, Department of Mathematics, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL 32306

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: oberlin@math.fsu.edu}$